

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/007,800	12/07/2001	Wouter Leonardus Joseph Hinrichs	05032-00013			
22910 7	2590 03/26/2003					
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.			EXAMINER			
28 STATE ST 28th FLOOR	REET		SPEAR, JA	AMES M		
BOSTON, MA	02109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1615			
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

S. Patent and Trademark Office					
	Office Action Sumr	nary			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948	☐ Other_			
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892				atent Applicati	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO)-1449, Paper No(s).		ew Summary		
Attachment(s)	5				
*Certified copies not received:		<u> </u>		<u>·</u> ·	
 received in Application No. (Series Cod received in this national stage application 	ion from the International Bure		7.2(a)).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTI ☐ received.	IFIED copies of the priority doc	uments have be	een		
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreian priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 11 9(a)-(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 1		xamıner.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	•		approved.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's	-				
Application Papers			•		
☐ Claim(s)			are subject requiremen		r election
□ Claim(s)			_ is/are rejec	led.	
	<u> </u>		io/ore relea	cu.	•
• •					olucialiUII.
Of the above claim(s)					
Disposition of Claims X Claim(s)			is/are nend	ing in the anni	ication
 Since this application is in condition for all accordance with the practice under Ex pa 	arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453	3 O.G. 213.	on as to the	ments is clos	eu m
☐ This action is FINAL.		ori propositio	on as to the	morito io oloo	and in
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Decem	per	0 4	20	<u> </u>
Status		•			
 Extensions of time may be available under the prov from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than the lf NO period for reply is specified above, such period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for 	hirty (30) days, a reply within the stated	utory minimum of t	thirty (30) days nailing date of th	will be considere	d timely. n .
OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	hreem	ONTH(S) FR	OM THE MAII	ING DATE
—The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on the cove	r sneet beneat	in the corres	ponaence aa	aress
Office Action Summa	Examiner	Soper	G ح	roup Art Unit	
Office Action Cumma	10/00	7,800 Appli	tinyi	chs	eta

Art Unit: 1615

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Pharmacon are not clearly described. The particular compounds that constitute what applicants describe as phamacons are not clearly evident.

Art Unit: 1615

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present

Art Unit: 1615

instance, claims 1 and 4 recites the broad recitation an active substance, and the claims also recite "such as a pharmacon" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claims 11 and 12 provide for the use of a sugar glass of a fructan, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1615

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 61181960 A.

See Abstract. The reference shows inulin with the requisite degree of polymerization. The compound would inherently be in a sugar glass state. The scope of the claim does not encompass a significant composition and is considered directed only to the compound inulin.

Claims 1-12 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M. Spear whose telephone number is 703 308 2457. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 6:30 AM to 3 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page, can be reached on (703) 308 2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 308 4556 or 703 305 3592.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,800 Page 6

Art Unit: 1615

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 1235.

James M. Spear

March 24, 2003

JAMES M. SPEAR
PRIMARY EXAMINER

A U 16 (5)